

GEOENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

Geoenvironmental impact management

edited by R. N. Yong and H. R. Thomas

Proceedings of the third conference organized by the British Geotechnical Association and Cardiff School of Engineering, Cardiff University, and held in Edinburgh on 17–19 September 2001



 Thomas Telford

Published by Thomas Telford Publishing, Thomas Telford Ltd, 1 Heron Quay, London E14 4JD.
URL: <http://www.t-telford.co.uk>

Distributors for Thomas Telford books are

USA: ASCE Press, 1801 Alexander Bell Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4400, USA

Japan: Maruzen Co. Ltd, Book Department, 3-10 Nihonbashi 2-chome, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 103

Australia: DA Books and Journals, 648 Whitehorse Road, Mitcham 3132, Victoria

First published 2001

Organizing Committee

Prof H R Thomas, Cardiff University
Prof R N Yong, Cardiff University
Dr A Al-Tabbaa, Cambridge University
Prof S Jefferis, Surrey University
Dr J M Reid, TRL
Dr D Stewart, Leeds University
Ms C Summers, Cardiff University
Dr P Tedd, BRE
Dr M Winter, TRL

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 0 7227 3033 9

© The Authors unless otherwise stated 2001

All rights, including translation, reserved. Except as permitted by the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the Publishing Director, Thomas Telford Publishing, Thomas Telford Ltd, 1 Heron Quay, London E14 4JD.

This book is published on the understanding that the authors are solely responsible for the statements made and opinions expressed in it and that its publication does not necessarily imply that such statements and/or opinions are or reflect the views or opinions of the publishers or of the organizers. While every effort has been made to ensure that the statements made and the opinions expressed in this publication provide a safe and accurate guide, no liability or responsibility can be accepted in this respect by the authors or publishers.

Printed and bound in Great Britain by MPG Books, Bodmin

Preface

This book contains peer reviewed papers presented at the 3rd British Geotechnical Association Geoenvironmental Engineering Conference held at the University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, in September, 2001. The second conference in this series was held two years ago in London at the Institution of Civil Engineers. Issues related to Geoenvironmental Engineering are now well established in importance throughout the world and pollution of the natural environment continues to occur as a result of both industrial activity and natural disasters. Therefore conferences like this have an important role to play in the sharing of knowledge and experience by the international geoenvironmental engineering community.

The main theme of the third conference is Geoenvironmental Impact Management. The papers in this book have been grouped together in eight main sections which reflect the themes which were considered at the conference: Recycling, Reuse, Recovery and Management; Risk Management; Legislation and Regulatory Control; Mine Sites, Tailings Dams, Dredgings and Lagoons; Site Remediation and Land Regeneration; Natural Attenuation, Fate and Transport of Pollutants including Gas; Design, Construction and Operation of Landfill Sites; and Case Histories. The papers in this volume have been submitted by authors from around the world, reflecting the worldwide interest in geoenvironmental engineering.

The conference was organised by the Geoenvironmental Engineering Research Centre at Cardiff University and the British Geotechnical Association. We would like to thank the members of the Organising Committee: Dr A Al-Tabbaa, Professor S Jefferis, Dr J M Reid, Dr D Stewart, Dr P Tedd, Dr G Summers, Dr M Winter and Ms A Reid (Secretary) for their assistance in the paper review process and the organisation of the conference. We would also especially like to thank the Local Organising Committee in Edinburgh (Prof A Barry, Dr W Ferguson, Mr G Paschke, Dr I Pyrah, Ms C Schmolke and Dr M Winter) for their assistance and support.

R N Yong
H R Thomas

Contribution of Anaerobic Microbial Activity to Natural Attenuation of the "B" in BTEX

S. J. JOHNSON, K. J. WOOLHOUSE, H. PROMMER, D. A. BARRY & N. CHRISTOFI
School of Civil and Environmental Engineering/Contaminated Land Assessment and Remediation Research Centre, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JN United Kingdom.

ABSTRACT

Anaerobic biodegradation of hydrocarbons, using a variety of terminal electron acceptors, is increasingly being reported both in laboratory studies and in the field. Of all the petroleum hydrocarbons, benzene is considered the most problematical due to its high toxicity and relatively high aqueous solubility. These, combined with its peculiarly stable structure mean that it has long been considered recalcitrant in all but aerobic conditions. There is now a small, but growing, literature to suggest that this may not in fact be the case. We present a mini-review of the field, encompassing reviews up to 1997 and original papers published since then. It appears that benzene is indeed degraded anaerobically, but that organisms capable of doing so are not ubiquitous. In addition, benzene degradation may be competitively inhibited by the presence of more readily degraded compounds such as toluene. Certainly, the occurrence and rate of benzene attenuation under anaerobic conditions is far more site-specific than for other BTEX compounds. We discuss a mathematical method for modelling redox-dependent, differential degradation rates.

INTRODUCTION

Petroleum contains, in addition to many other hydrocarbon constituents, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX). These are the most significant components in terms of pollution potential, as they are the most soluble. Leaks of petroleum, leading to contamination of soil and groundwater by BTEX compounds, are widespread. Thus, dissolved BTEX compounds in the subsurface environment are candidates for removal via naturally occurring processes, whereby redox reactions mediated by autochthonous microorganisms result in the production of less harmful, even benign, products [1].

Benzene typically makes up less than 2% of petroleum [2], but is important since it is considered the most toxic and persistent of all petroleum components. It has limited solubility in water (1.78 g l^{-1} [3]), yet is the most soluble of petroleum hydrocarbons [4]. Its structure and shape make it difficult to oxidise and degrade. Reasonable evidence exists showing that the TEX compounds all degrade naturally in groundwater systems [5], whereas for benzene the picture is mixed. Indeed, it is thought that benzene degradation may be inhibited in the presence of other hydrocarbons, such as toluene [6], though the mechanism for this is unclear.

BTEX degradation occurs most rapidly under aerobic conditions. However, aquifers are often anoxic. In the absence of dissolved oxygen in groundwater, benzene degradation rates decrease or can stop altogether. The aerobic degradation of benzene, via catechol, is well established [5], and will not be further considered here. The application of oxygen to anoxic soil, sediments and groundwater is possible by a variety of means (biopiles, injection of O_2 /air/aerated water/hydrogen peroxide or the injection of chlorite, which is degraded by perchlorate-reducing bacteria to yield oxygen *in situ* [7]. These are intrusive and, therefore, expensive measures. Hence, monitored natural attenuation (intrinsic bioremediation) is likely to remain the most widespread remediation technique for BTEX-contaminated aquifers

Natural attenuation encompasses a host of physical processes (e.g. dispersion, dilution, sorption and volatilisation) as well as chemical and biological degradation. Biodegradation depends on microbial activity that varies with hydrogeological site characteristics and aquifer geochemistry. Here, anaerobic benzene biodegradation is examined, considering evidence from both laboratory and the field. Literature surveys reveal conflicting evidence on conditions required for its degradation. Thus, it is difficult to predict *a priori* the occurrence/rate of benzene removal without a detailed understanding of aquifer conditions.

A 1997 review of likely mechanisms [8] suggested that benzene could be degraded via benzoate under a range of conditions. No single microbial species has been shown to completely degrade the compound under anaerobic conditions, although stable benzene-degrading enrichment cultures are known. Toluene-degrading organisms, however, have been identified, and include members of the nitrate-reducing genera *Azoarcus* and *Thauera*, and the iron-reducing *Geobacter metallireducens* as well as a variety of unnamed sulphate-reducers. The only organisms known to degrade BTEX compounds anaerobically are bacteria, but it has been suggested that the currently poorly studied anaerobic fungi might prove to be involved.

Aronson and Howard [5] reviewed a large number of laboratory and field investigations in 1997. The majority of published studies failed to demonstrate anaerobic benzene degradation. Those that did indicated that benzene was degraded under nitrate-, Fe(II)- and manganese-reducing, and sometimes under methanogenic conditions. Many authors attributed lack of degradation to insufficient residence time. Others suggested that since benzene degradation appears to be inhibited in the presence of other carbon sources, it might be that the degradation seen in the field was due to aerobic degradation at the plume periphery.

Since these reviews, a number of pertinent papers have been published. Space does not allow for the comprehensive review of papers published prior to 1997. We therefore suggest this paper should be read in conjunction with the earlier reviews [5;8;9].

BENZENE DEGRADATION UNDER DIFFERENT REDOX REGIMES

Cellular respiration comprises a chain of oxidation-reduction couples, whereby energy is extracted via a stepwise oxidation (i.e., removal of electrons) of organic and inorganic molecules. In order to proceed, there needs to be a relatively more oxidised chemical species available to prevent the accumulation of electrons that would hinder the reaction kinetics. These are known as terminal electron acceptors (TEAs). In aerobic respiration, the TEA is molecular oxygen, but in the absence of oxygen, a number of less highly oxidised TEAs may serve, assuming organisms capable of making use of them are present. Available TEAs are

generally used in the environment in decreasing order of oxidation-reduction potential. Possible TEAs include NO_3^- , Fe(III) , Mn(IV) , SO_4^{2-} , and CO_2 .

Nitrate-reducing conditions

Benzene has long been considered recalcitrant in the field under nitrate-reducing conditions. Where it has been seen, it has been much slower than under aerobic conditions and it appears that O_2 is still required as a substrate for the oxygenases that mediate the oxidative cleavage of the aromatic ring, even if it is not used as a TEA. Benzoate is often considered to be a central metabolite in the degradation of monoaromatic hydrocarbons, and it has been shown to be degraded under denitrifying conditions [8] though some workers still point to the apparent inability of nitrate-reducers to degrade benzene [10]. Nales *et al.* [11] demonstrated benzene degradation under nitrate-reducing conditions, but found that TEX substrates competitively inhibited its degradation. They also demonstrated benzene degradation under sulphate- and Fe(III) -reducing conditions, but not with methanogenesis. More recently, benzene has again been shown to be degraded in microaerobic, nitrate-reducing conditions, with O_2 required for oxygenases [12]. Burland & Edwards [13] link benzene degradation to reduction of nitrate to nitrite (but not to conversion to gaseous nitrogen).

Iron-reducing conditions

Iron is considered to be especially significant in hydrocarbon degradation in marine sediments, with Fe(III) chelated to a variety of compounds shown to stimulate benzene oxidation in anaerobic sediment [14;15]. Kazumi *et al.* [16] showed that benzene was degraded in methanogenic, sulfate-reducing, and iron-reducing conditions. Benzene loss also occurred in the presence of Fe(III) in sediments from freshwater environments. Heider *et al.* [9] noted recently that benzene was degraded under iron-reducing conditions but that no single benzene-degrading organism had been isolated. A community including members of the genus *Geobacter* was implicated. Many primitive benzene-degrading bacteria (hyperthermophiles), previously thought to require SO_4^{2-} , have been shown to grow using Fe(III) as an electron acceptor [17;18]. Caldwell and Sufliya [19] found evolution of phenol and benzoate under a range of conditions (Fe(III) - and sulphate-reduction, and with methanogenesis), supporting the theory that benzoate is a central metabolite in anaerobic degradation of aromatics.

Sulphate-reducing conditions

Several workers have demonstrated benzene degradation under sulphate-reducing conditions in soil collected from contaminated sites (e.g. Phelps *et al.* [20]). Chaudhuri & Wiesman [21] showed that degradation was via benzoate. Benzene degradation was comprehensively demonstrated in sulphate-reducing sediments from San Diego Bay [22]. Reinhard *et al.* [23] investigated BTEX degradation under a range of redox conditions, but benzene degradation was only associated with sulphate reduction. Enrichment of aquatic sediments with known benzene-degraders leads to degradation of benzene and growth of benzene-degrading organisms, suggesting that the lack of benzene degradation in some aquifers is due to failure of appropriate organisms to colonise the aquifer, rather than adverse environmental conditions [24]. A sulphate-reducing consortium was found to remain relatively complex despite the culture's long exposure to benzene as the only carbon and energy source (over 3 years) and repeated dilutions of the original enrichment [25]. Conversely, complete mineralization of benzene to CO_2 has been demonstrated apparently within single cells in microcosms [22], and more recently in a contaminated aquifer [26].

Methanogenesis

Where no electron acceptors other than CO_2 are present, it is suggested that benzene might be degraded to CO_2 and methane. In such a situation, it would not be possible to mineralise all the benzene. However, in the absence of any other TEA, this might play a role in limiting the extent of the contaminant plume. Benzene has been shown to be converted to CH_4 and CO_2 with no lag phase in the absence of other electron acceptors [16;27;28].

MODELLING DIFFERENTIAL DEGRADATION RATES

Modelling of the complex biogeochemical interactions is a valuable means of quantifying the varied and complex interactions between contaminants, the local hydrogeology (groundwater flow) and the local hydrogeochemistry and, ultimately, making predictions of (i) the viability of natural attenuation as a remediation technique for BTEX compounds in aquifers and (ii) the feasibility/efficiency of enhanced remediation schemes. For the quantification of hydrocarbon compounds, models of different levels of complexity exist. Probably the most common mathematical formulation is based on linking the removal of organic compounds to the microbial growth rate. For a single organic compound, this growth rate can be expressed by

$$\frac{\partial X_{\text{growth}}}{\partial t} = v_{\text{max}} \frac{C_{\text{org}}}{K_{\text{org}} + C_{\text{org}}} \frac{C_{\text{ea}}}{K_{\text{ea}} + C_{\text{ea}}} X, \quad (1)$$

where t is time, X is the local microbial concentration (subject to both growth and decay), v_{max} is an asymptotic maximum specific uptake rate, C_{org} and C_{ea} are the aqueous concentrations of the organic compound (substrate) and TEA, respectively. K_{org} and K_{ea} are the half-saturation constants for the organic compound and the TEA, respectively. For the complete mass-balance of the bacterial group, microbial decay needs to be considered, leading to

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial X_{\text{growth}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial X_{\text{decay}}}{\partial t}, \quad (2)$$

$$\text{with } \frac{\partial X_{\text{decay}}}{\partial t} = -v_{\text{dec}} X, \quad (3)$$

where v_{dec} is a decay rate constant. During microbial growth, both organic substrate and TEA are consumed at rates that are proportional to v_{max} . Thus, for a known reaction stoichiometry, the degradation rate can be easily determined from

$$\frac{\partial C_{\text{org}}}{\partial t} = Y \frac{\partial X_{\text{growth}}}{\partial t}, \quad (4)$$

where Y is a stoichiometric factor. As written, the above formulation treats multiple hydrocarbon compounds as one single compound with similar physico-chemical properties and, consequently cannot mimic the above-discussed differential degradation (or recalcitrance) of compounds under varying redox conditions. To simulate this, (1) needs to be modified to

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \left[\left(\sum_{n=1, n_{\text{org}}} \frac{\partial X_n}{\partial t} \right) - v_{\text{dec}} \right] X, \quad (5)$$

where each of the growth terms $\partial X_n / \partial t$ is derived from a compound-specific term similar to (1) where the uptake rates v_{max} can then differ between different substrates. If more than one TEA is involved in the degradation process, this will typically require simulation of concentrations of multiple microbial groups, each associated with a particular TEA. To inhibit growth of bacteria in the presence of a thermodynamically more favourable TEA, one or more inhibition terms of the form

$$I_{inh,ea} = \frac{K_{inh,ea}}{K_{inh,ea} + C_{inh,ea}} \quad (6)$$

can be included as a factor in (1) and/or (5), where $C_{inh,ea}$ is the concentration of a more favourable TEA and $K_{inh,ea}$ is an inhibition constant that needs to be much smaller than typical concentrations of the more favourable TEA. The Monod-type inhibition term $I_{inh,ea}$ will then remain ≈ 0 as long as the more favourable TEA is present in significant amounts but reaches its maximum value ≈ 1 as soon as the more favourable TEA is depleted (no growth-inhibition).

With the above equations incorporated into a numerical model [29], it is then possible to simulate redox-dependent, differential degradation rates, e.g., benzene might degrade at the same or faster rate as toluene under aerobic conditions whereas under selected anaerobic conditions it might degrade more slowly or not at all.

CONCLUSIONS

Although there is generally a paucity of research on the anaerobic degradation of benzene, it is evident from a number of studies that the prevailing redox, physicochemical and biological conditions all play a part in determining the rate and extent of benzene degradation. The overall picture of anaerobic benzene degradation is that it can and does occur in a variety of conditions, but that organisms capable of utilising benzene anaerobically are by no means ubiquitous. There are also contrasting data concerning the use of TEAs in degradation, with studies by Nales *et al.* [11] showing benzene degradation under NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} and Fe(III)-reducing conditions and not under methanogenic conditions, while Kazumi *et al.* [16] report degradation under methanogenic, SO_4^{2-} and Fe(III)-reducing conditions only. Where rapid benzene degradation is seen in the field it is often associated with shallow aquifers and it is suggested that most of this degradation is aerobic, along the margins of contaminant plumes, with a limited amount occurring anaerobically within the plume body [5]. Anaerobic degradation of benzene is clearly far more site-specific than for the remaining TEX compounds, its extremely stable structure making it less susceptible to microbial attack and thus highly dependant on both biotic and abiotic factors. A high organic fraction has been shown to inhibit anaerobic benzene degradation [11], as has the presence of alternative energy sources [30]. In the presence of high concentrations of BTEX compounds, it may be that removal of the more easily degraded TEX component and associated TEA may be responsible for the persistence of benzene in the environment. The use of enrichment cultures may favour faster-growing species at the expense of slower-growing microorganisms which are capable of degrading benzene over longer incubation periods/residence times [31]. It is likely that a combination of laboratory experiments and modelling of hydrogeology and hydrogeochemistry will aid in determining the potential for natural attenuation at a given site. While still poorly understood, anaerobic biodegradation is acknowledged as a factor in the natural attenuation of a variety of compounds, including some, such as benzene, once considered to be recalcitrant in all but aerobic conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Wiedemeier, T. H., M. A. Swanson, J. T. Wilson, D. H. Kampbell, R. N. Miller, and J. E. Hansen. 1996. Approximation of biodegradation rate constants for monoaromatic hydrocarbons (BTEX) in ground water. *Ground Water*

- Monitoring and Remediation 16:186-194.
2. Irwin, R. J. 1997. Environmental Contaminants Encyclopedia. National Park Service, Fort Collins, CO, USA.
 3. Stephen, H. and T. Stephen. 1963. Solubilities of Inorganic and Organic Compounds. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
 4. Alexander, M. 1999. Biodegradation and Bioremediation. Academic Press, San Diego.
 5. Aronson, D. and P. H. Howard. 1997. Anaerobic biodegradation of organic chemicals in groundwater: a summary of field and laboratory studies. Syracuse Research Corporation, North Syracuse, NY, USA.
 6. Krumholz, L. R., M. E. Caldwell, and J. M. Sufita. 1996. Biodegradation of 'BTEX' hydrocarbons under anaerobic conditions, p. 61-99. In R. L. Crawford and D. L. Crawford (eds.), Bioremediation: Principles and Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
 7. Coates, J. D., R. A. Bruce, and J. D. Haddock. 1998. Anoxic bioremediation of hydrocarbons. Nature 396:730.
 8. Harwood, C. S. and J. Gibson. 1997. Shedding light on anaerobic benzene ring degradation: a process unique to prokaryotes? Journal of Bacteriology 179:301-309.
 9. Heider, J., A. M. Spormann, H. R. Beller, and F. Widdel. 1999. Anaerobic bacterial metabolism of hydrocarbons. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 22:459-473.
 10. Kao, C. M. and R. C. Borden. 1997. Site-specific variability in BTEX biodegradation under denitrifying conditions. Ground Water 35:305-311.
 11. Nales, M., B. J. Butler, and E. A. Edwards. 1998. Anaerobic benzene degradation: A microcosm survey. Bioremediation Journal 2:125-144.
 12. Durant, L. P. W., P. C. D'Adamo, and E. J. Bouwer. 1999. Aromatic hydrocarbon biodegradation with mixtures of O₂ and NO₃⁻ as electron acceptors. Environmental Engineering Science 16:487-500.
 13. Burland, S. M. and E. A. Edwards. 1999. Anaerobic benzene biodegradation linked to nitrate reduction. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 65:529-533.
 14. Lovley, D. R., J. C. Woodward, and F. H. Chapelle. 1996. Rapid anaerobic benzene oxidation with a variety of chelated Fe(III) forms. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 62:288-291.
 15. Caldwell, M. E., R. S. Tanner, and J. M. Sufita. 1999. Microbial metabolism of benzene and the oxidation of ferrous iron under anaerobic conditions: implications for bioremediation. Anaerobe 5:595-603.
 16. Kazumi, J., M. E. Caldwell, J. M. Sufita, D. R. Lovley, and L. Y. Young. 1997. Anaerobic degradation of benzene in diverse anoxic environments. Environmental Science and Technology 31:813-818.
 17. Anderson, R. T., J. N. Rooney-Varga, C. V. Gaw, and D. R. Lovley. 1998. Anaerobic benzene oxidation in the Fe(III) reduction zone of petroleum contaminated aquifers. Environmental Science and Technology 32:1222-1229.
 18. Rooney-Varga, J. N., R. T. Anderson, J. L. Fraga, D. Ringelberg, and D. R. Lovley. 1999. Microbial communities associated with anaerobic benzene degradation in a petroleum-contaminated aquifer. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 65:3056-3063.
 19. Caldwell, M. E. and J. M. Sufita. 2000. Detection of phenol and benzoate as intermediates of anaerobic benzene biodegradation under different terminal electron-accepting conditions. Environmental Science and Technology 34:1216-1220.
 20. Phelps, C. D., J. Kazumi, and L. Y. Young. 1996. Anaerobic degradation of benzene in BTX mixtures dependent on sulfate reduction. FEMS Microbiology Letters 145:433-437.
 21. Chaudhuri, B. K. and U. Wiesmann. 1995. Enhanced anaerobic degradation of benzene by enrichment of mixed microbial culture and optimization of the culture-medium. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 43:178-187.
 22. Lovley, D. R., J. D. Coates, J. C. Woodward, and E. J. P. Phillips. 1995. Benzene oxidation coupled to sulfate reduction. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 61:953-958.
 23. Reinhard, M., S. Shang, P. K. Kitanidis, E. Orwin, G. D. Hopkins, and C. A. Lebron. 1997. In situ BTEX transformations under enhanced nitrate- and sulfate-reducing conditions. Environmental Science and Technology 31:28-36.
 24. Weiner, J. M. and D. R. Lovley. 1998. Anaerobic benzene degradation in petroleum-contaminated aquifer sediments after inoculation with a benzene-oxidising enrichment. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64:775-778.
 25. Phelps, C. D., L. J. Kerkhof, and L. Y. Young. 1998. Molecular characterization of a sulfate-reducing consortium which mineralizes benzene. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 27:269-279.
 26. Anderson, R. T. and D. R. Lovley. 2000. Anaerobic bioremediation of benzene under sulfate-reducing conditions in a petroleum-contaminated aquifer. Environmental Science and Technology 34:2261-2266.

27. **Grbic-Galic, D. and T. M. Vogel.** 1987. Transformation of toluene and benzene by mixed methanogenic cultures. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 53:254-260.
28. **Weiner, J. M. and D. R. Lovley.** 1998. Rapid benzene degradation in methanogenic sediments from a petroleum-contaminated aquifer. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 64:1937-1939.
29. **Prommer, H., D. A. Barry, and G.B. Davis.** 1999. A one-dimensional reactive multi-component transport model for biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons. *Environmental Modelling and Software* 14:213-223.
30. **Corseuil, H. X., C. S. Hunt, R. C. F. Dos Santos, and P. J. J. Alvarez.** The influence of the gasoline oxygenate ethanol on aerobic and anaerobic BTX biodegradation. *Water Research* 32:2065-2072.
31. **Rabus, R., H. Wilkes, A. Schramm, G. Harms, A. Behrends, R. Amann, and F. Widdel.** 1999. Anaerobic utilization of alkylbenzenes and n-alkanes from crude oil in an enrichment culture of denitrifying bacteria affiliating with the β -subclass of *Proteobacteria*. *Environmental Microbiology* 1:145-157.